UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,692	06/07/2006	Tetsuro Kurashina	3.0-042	3786
Michael E Zall	7590 08/12/200	EXAMINER		
Two Yorkshire			BUI, BRYAN	
Suffern, NY 10901			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2863	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/552,692	KURASHINA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Bryan Bui	2863
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	-	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 c     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .      Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 13-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrage 5) Claim(s) 13-16 and 21-24 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 17-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	awn from consideration. or election requirement.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/10/08.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal I 6)  Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/552,692 Page 2

Art Unit: 2863

1. Applicant's paper filed on 6/10/2008 has been received and entered. Claims 1-12 have been cancelled. Claims 13-24 have been added. Claims 13-24 are pending in the application.

- 2. Applicant's remark has been considered, but it is not persuasive to claims 17-20.
- 3. After reviewed by the specialist, the new claims 17-20 is not overcome the rejections under 112, 2nd paragraph and 101 rejections as applicant's opinion stated. Since the selecting can not operating by itself without any calculating and comparing. How to identify the shoe tip profile is right to select? Noted that to read in light of the specification, the claim should also included the essential steps to perform operation to overcome 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph rejection under omitted step section to make the claim invention clear and concise, and 101 rejection to the claim under descriptive data per se (such database and computer per se) which does not perform computations and comparing, has been determined to be non-statutory.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: calculating and comparing to identify the selected tip profile.

Application/Control Number: 10/552,692 Page 3

Art Unit: 2863

6. In claim 17, line 2, "the first toe" lack antecedent basis. In claims 18-19, what's "a first angle" that applicant want to represent to?. And what's "a second angle" that applicant want to represent to?.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

7. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claim itself appears to be descriptive matter or descriptive data per se which does not perform computations and comparing, has been determined to be non-statutory. It is also merely appears as directed to an abstract idea by the descriptive data operating of database and computer per se.

## Allowable Subject Matter

8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claims 1-16 and 21-24 are indicating allowable over the prior art of record. The prior art of record fails to teach the claimed combination as recited, and the applicant's amendment overcome the rejections of the previous office action.

## Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bryan Bui whose telephone number is 571-272-2271. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th from 7am-4pm, and Alternate Fridays.

Application/Control Number: 10/552,692 Page 4

Art Unit: 2863

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E. Barlow can be reached on 571-272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Bryan Bui/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2863